



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32065-2024
Date of decision: 10.09.2024

RAJINDER SINGH AND ORS **....PETITIONERS**

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Aman Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ajit, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. petitioners seek quashing of FIR and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 04.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
74	29.03.2014	419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC	Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 04.06.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, vide report dated 07.09.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between



CRM-M-32065-2024

2

the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited cost of Rs.15,000/- in compliance of order dated 22.08.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Ludhiana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 74 dated 29.03.2014 under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC, registered at Police Station Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.09.2024

Kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |