



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

233

**CWP-17448-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision :28.05.2024**

Rubi Lal and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Kainth, Advocate for
Mr. Davinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, grievance of the petitioners is that they are entitled for consideration of their claim for regularization of their services under the policy dated 01.10.2003 issued by the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the respondents at the outset submits that even as per the averments made in para-2 of the present writ petition, the petitioners were appointed in the year 2004 and 2009 as the case may be, whereas, for the grant of benefit of regularization of services under the policy dated 01.10.2003, an employee should have 03 years of service upto the said date, which is not there in the case of the petitioners hence, the petitioners are not entitled to be considered under the policy dated



CWP-17448-2022 (O&M) -2-

01.10.2003.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to rebut the said factual aspect.

Keeping in view the fact that learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to substantiate his claim under the policy dated 01.10.2003, no ground for the grant of relief as claimed in the present petition is made out and the present petition is accordingly dismissed.

Civil miscellaneous application pending if any is also disposed of.

May 28, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No