

**CRWP-6469-2024**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

226**CRWP-6469-2024**

Date of Decision:- 09.08.2024

Imran Akhtar

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Rishab Garg, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner Imran Akhtar has filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ with the direction to official respondents No.1 to 6 to protect his life and liberty and to enquire in his representation/complaint dated 25.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) in a fair and impartial manner.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that on 12.06.2024, he was beaten up and maltreated by private respondents. Neetu along with two unknown persons came to his shop and looted a sum of Rs.40,000/-. He filed complaint dated 12.06.2024 along with video footage (Annexure P-1 and P-2 respectively) but no action was taken by the police. He was threatened by police to settle the matter with private respondents. He filed representations dated 18.06.2024 (Annexure P-3 and P-4) but no action is being taken. Respondent No.7 his wife along with respondents No.8 and 9 conspired and he was assaulted while he was present in his shop.

**CRWP-6469-2024****-2-**

Therefore, he sought relief of protection of his life and liberty.

3. Notice was given.

4. Learned counsel representing State has filed status report, which is taken on record. He referred to the Status report in which it is mentioned that the allegations levelled by the petitioner and CCTV footage was scrutinized. The complaint filed by the petitioner is already disposed of on 22.06.2024 in terms of DD entry No.28. The representation was dealt with in accordance with law. No cognizable offence was committed. No further action was warranted. In fact petitioner has no threat or apprehension.

5. I have considered the allegations and the facts detailed in the status report. It is clear from the status report that complaint is already filed by the police. Reason behind this occurrence appears to be matrimonial dispute. There is CCTV footage coupled with medical record of the petitioner from Civil Hospital, Sector-10, Gurugram. Threat perception cannot be ignored.

6. Considering factual position, official respondents No.3 & 5 are directed to protect life and liberty of the petitioner, considering threat perception. Petitioner is at liberty to take action on his complaint as provided under the law.

7. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

09.08.2024*Sunil Devi***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No