

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.32574 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 4th January, 2024

Rekha and another
... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. APS Tung, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.
Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate for respondent No.4.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 to 5 in case FIR No.75 dated 09.06.2023 under Section 306 IPC registered at Police Station Govt. Rly Police, GRP Amritsar by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar vide its order dated 30.06.2023.
2. In compliance of order dated 19.12.2023, learned State counsel has filed affidavit of Gurpreet Singh Bhullar, IPS, Commissioner of Police, Amritsar today in the Court, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions and a copy thereof supplied to learned counsel opposite. While drawing the attention of this Court to the affidavit, learned State counsel has submitted that police had enquired into the

complaint made by the petitioner with respect to the alleged threats being extended to them by the accused, however, no substance had been found in the said allegations; however, still directions had been issued to the SHO, Police Station E-Division, Amritsar to ensure safety and security of the petitioners through officials of the PCR.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has, however, submitted that since the petitioners are due to depose before the trial Court, there could be a likelihood of the accused/respondents still trying to extend indirect threats to the petitioners and also create obstacles in their way to prevent them from appearing before the trial Court.

4. Learned State counsel, in response to the apprehension which has been raised by learned counsel for the petitioners, on instructions from SI Shamsheer Singh, has submitted that since directions have already been issued to the concerned SHO to ensure safety and security of the petitioners, there could be no possibility of the accused/respondents trying to create any obstacles in the way of the petitioners from appearing before the trial Court, however, in case any such threat is again extended to the petitioners, the PCR Van which would be parked in the vicinity of their house, could always be approached, who would ensure that no hurdles are created for the petitioners from appearing before the trial Court.

5. In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel and the affidavit filed by the State, no ground is made out to accept the prayer of the petitioners to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to the respondents/accused. The petition stands dismissed accordingly.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

January 04, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No