



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CWP-21130-2014

Date of decision: 30.05.2024

DALJEET SINGH GILL

...PETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Upender Prasher, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent Nos. 1 and 5-Union of India.

Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through the instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to re-fix his salary.
2. On 13.05.2024, the following order was passed:

“Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate submits that bank, at the time of re-employment of the petitioner, has protected his last drawn salary as per certificate submitted by him. He is claiming revision of pay on the basis of revision of his salary at the end of his previous employer i.e. Indian Air Force. The bank needs revised last pay drawn certificate and in the absence of said certificate, pay of the petitioner cannot be revised.

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Advocate seeks time to clarify why competent authority is not issuing aforesaid certificate.

Adjourned to 30.05.2024.

To be shown in urgent.”



3. Mr. Sudhir Nar, Senior Panel Counsel submits that respondent No.5 vide certificate dated 06.02.2020 (Annexure R-5/1) has already confirmed revised pay of the petitioner as per 6th Pay Commission. It is for the Union Bank of India to re-determine pay on the basis of said certificate.
4. During the course of hearing, Mr. Sudhir Nar, Senior Panel Counsel supplied one attested copy of aforesaid certificate to Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate.
5. Mr. K.K. Gupta, Advocate on being confronted with aforesaid certificate submits that Competent Authority would re-consider case of petitioner and pass an appropriate order within 6 weeks from today.
6. Disposed of accordingly.

30.05.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No