



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

291

CRM-M-31549-2024

Date of decision: 12.09.2024

HARPREET SINGH

....Petitioner

V/s

UNION TERRITORY CHANDIGARH AND ANR

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. R.K.Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P., U.T., Chandigarh with
Mr. Navjit Singh, and Mr. Shaurya Nagpal, Advocates,
for the respondent-U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Sachin Jain, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0018 dated 27.02.2024 under Sections 408, 420, 467, 468, 471 of the Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Industrial Area, Chandigarh, and all the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 05.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 12.07.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 12.08.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh, in pursuance of the directions of this Court,



wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the copy of statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 12, 2024
poonam

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No