



121
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15253-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 08.07.2024

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the action of the respondent-department vide which the basic pension of the petitioner which is currently Rs. 69,200/- (Annexure P-9) has not been re-fixed at Rs. 1,23,000/- even after passing the Departmental Accounts Examination vide result dated 09.03.2023 (Annexure P-8) whereas the pay of the similarly situated employees have already been re-fixed, with a further prayer to quash the tentative seniority list dated 29.12.2023 (Annexure P-15) of AE/E in which the petitioner has been placed at serial No.610 and the juniors of the petitioners who were placed lower in the seniority list of 2017 (Annexure P-14) of AE/E have now been placed at serial No. 610 without any reason. It was further prayed that promotion list dated 28.05.2024 (Annexure P-17) of AEE/E vide which the juniors of the petitioner have been promoted and the



petitioner despite being eligible is left out which is against regulation No.12 & 15 of UHBVNL, Services of Engineer (Electrical) Recruitment and Promotion Regulations, 2020 issued by the department dated 19.10.2020 may kindly be quashed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had submitted a representation dated 03.06.2024 (Annexure P-18) to respondent No.2 to 4 but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the aforesaid representation dated 03.06.2024 (Annexure P-18) is considered and decided by respondent No.2 to 4 in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. She submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, she has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 03.06.2024 (Annexure P-18) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

08.07.2024
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No