

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the records of the present case with their able assistance.

It is conceded fact that under the Assured Career Progression Scheme, only three upgradations can be given and it is also a conceded position that the petitioner has already got three financial upgradations on completion of 4, 9 and 14 years of service hence, no further financial upgradation can be granted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had never opted for the grant of getting the option benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme on completion of 4 and 9 years but the respondents themselves, granted the same hence, the petitioner should have been considered for the other option of the grant of benefit under the Assured Career Progression Scheme.

Once, the petitioner accepted the benefit on completion of 4, 9 and 14 years and did not raised any objection, now turning back that the respondents themselves gave the said benefit, cannot be made as a ground to direct the respondents to grant another benefit, which is not admissible under the Assured Career Progression Scheme.

No ground is made out by this Court for any interference in the present petition.

Hence, the present petition is dismissed.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

18-10-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking: YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO