



CRWP-6247-2024

-1-

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6247-2024

Date of Decision: July 04, 2024

Muskan and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms.Sukhveer Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for directing respondents no.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents no.4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 and 5. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4, respectively. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur.

3. Notice of motion to respondents no.1 to 3-State only.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr.Tarun Aggarwal, Sr.DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/



CRWP-6247-2024

-2-

State.

5. On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur, is ordered to be impleaded as respondent No.2. Amended memo of parties be filed today itself.

6. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur, to decide the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

7. Disposed of

July 04, 2024

meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?

Yes/No

2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No