



CRM-M-31282-2024 and another connected matter

-1-

209+210 (2 cases)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:- 09.09.2024

(1)

CRM-M-31282-2024

Parveen Kumar @ Prince

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

(2)

CRM-M-32575-2024

Ramdev

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Nikhil Ghai, Advocate and
Mr. Prabhdeep S.Bindra, Advocate for petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. The petitioner(s) – Parveen Kumar @ Prince and Ramdev have filed separate petitions for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 73 dated 25.05.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 420, 406 of IPC registered at Police Station City Fazilka, District Fazilka. These petitions have arisen out of same FIR, therefore, both petitions are taken up together for disposal.

**CRM-M-31282-2024 and another connected matter**

-2-

2. Facts of the case are that a written complaint was received against Aman Skoda, Prince and Ram Dev filed by complainant-Sahib Singh. Complainant stated that he was working as Inspector in Punjab Police. In the year 2017, he was involved in PC Act case while he was posted as SHO, Police Station Amir Khas. There was another case of NDPS Act at Police Station City Jalalabad. Finally, he was dismissed from service. He remained in custody for about three months and then he was granted bail. During this period, he came in contact with Aman Kamboj @ Aman Skoda and his nephew Prince and brother-in-law Ram Dev who assured him that they were having direct communication with Director General of Police, Punjab and they will help him in his reinstatement. They demanded Rs.15 lacs but matter was settled in Rs.10 lacs. Accordingly, he gave Rs.5 lacs by getting it from commission agent and remaining Rs.5 lacs were borrowed from his friend Shiv son of Madan Lal. He gave this money in the year 2021 and the aforesaid persons were lingering on the matter for the last two years. These persons neither got him reinstated nor returned his money. Ultimately, he filed this complaint.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner(s) in both cases argued that they were granted interim bail and accordingly, they have also joined the investigation. They are not required for any purpose. They are falsely implicated in this case. The complainant himself was involved in criminal cases and was ultimately dismissed from service. They are falsely implicated in this case as Aman Kamboj @ Aman Skoda was having enmity with Punjab Police and large number of cases were registered

**CRM-M-31282-2024 and another connected matter**

-3-

against him since 2016. Other accused Aman Kamboj @ Aman Skoda was arrested by the police. Present petitioners being relatives are falsely implicated in this case. They will abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, their anticipatory bail applications may be allowed.

4. Learned counsel representing State filed status report in both the cases. It is pointed out that petitioners have joined investigation but they did not cooperate. Investigation is still going on and their custodial interrogation is required. Both petitioners are habitual offenders. As per the status report filed in CRM-M-31282-2024, there is reference of 05 other FIRs registered against the petitioner Parveen Kumar @ Prince whereas other co-accused Ramdev is also involved in as many as 11 FIRs out of which he is under trial in two cases and two other FIRs are under investigation as detailed therein. It was argued that petitioners are not entitled to be released on anticipatory bail.

6. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. Other accused Aman Kamboj @ Aman Skoda was arrested on 16.07.2024 whereas present petitioners Parveen Kumar @ Prince and Ramdev have filed their anticipatory bail applications. It is matter of record that they were granted interim bail with the direction to join the investigation. Learned counsel representing State pointed out that petitioners joined investigation but they did not cooperate. No recovery has been effected. As per the case of prosecution, complainant had handed over Rs.10 lacs to present petitioners along with co-accused. Apart from this, petitioner Parveen Kumar @ Prince is involved in 05 other cases



CRM-M-31282-2024 and another connected matter

-4-

whereas petitioner-Ramdev is also involved in several cases out of which in two cases, he is facing trial and two cases are under investigation. Allegations are serious.

Considering the status report filed in these cases, I do not find a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and the same are accordingly declined.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

09.09.2024

Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No