



CRWP-7483-2022

-1-

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-7483-2022

Date of Decision: 25.09.2024

Bikramjit Singh @ Happy

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from false implication in false cases on the basis of past antecedents/FIRs registered against him.

2. It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was falsely implicated in number of cases, which were later on quashed by this Court or he was acquitted by the Courts. He submits that respondent-authorities are threatening the petitioner to falsely implicate him in other FIRs. He submits that the house of the petitioner is being raided and thus, there is every apprehension that taking the benefit of his involvement in earlier cases, false cases would be planted upon him.

3. This Court issued notice in the present case and directed the State to file the status report.

4. In pursuance to the same, short replies dated 28.02.2023 and 26.07.2023 have already been filed on behalf of the respondent-State.

5. Learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender as is evident from his antecedents. It is submitted that the petitioner is involved in about 10 cases. It is submitted that the allegations

regarding apprehension of his false implication are without any basis. He submits that as per report of SHO Chatiwind, Amritsar Rural, no complaint is pending against the petitioner and no new FIR has been registered against him or his partner Baldev Singh at Police Station Chatiwind. It is also submitted that the petitioner has not been called to the Police Station Chatiwind, Amritsar Rural. However, he submits that as per report of SHO, Police Station Sultanwind, Amritsar City, in addition to said 10 cases, one more FIR also stands registered against the petitioner. It has also been stated that there is one another FIR registered against the petitioner in Police Station Chatiwind, but as per report of SHO, Chatiwind as submitted already, they have no complaint/FIR pending against the petitioner.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, it is deciphered that the petitioner is earlier facing prosecution in various FIRs. Some of the FIRs have been quashed or in some FIRs he has been acquitted. However, learned State counsel has filed the status report and has submitted that neither there is any new FIR registered against the petitioner nor there is any fresh complaint pending against him at Police Station Chatiwind. Thus, the apprehension of the petitioner as alleged is not substantiated. Hence, the present petition is disposed of as such. However, the petitioner is at liberty to pursue his remedy available to him, if any further cause of action accrues to him.

25.09.2024

sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No