2024:PHHC:110604

284

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31383-2024
Date of Decision:- 27.08.2024

ROHIT ROJER ....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Amandeep Singh Jattana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

*****

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner – Rohit Rojer has filed the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.83 dated 27.05.2024 under Sections 406/420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Partap Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. As per the facts of the case, a written complaint was filed against Rohit Rojer, his wife Sonam, Satish Kumar, Karanveer, Lalita and Meena with the allegations of cheating the applicants by creating a fake institution in order to extort money from them. Applicant alleged that they belong to poor family. One of the applicant Kulwinder Kaur met Lalita accused No.4 in November, 2020 and disclosed that she along with other accused have registered a society for the development of poor, where help will be

provided for establishing self business, to help girl students, bridegroom scheme and to provide gas stove, etc. It was further assured that on payment of Rs.30,000/- each they will get their colony approved from the Government within 90 days. As per FIR different amounts were contributed by the applicants which was later-on misappropriated and the aforesaid accused refused to return the same.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that all the allegations levelled against him are false. He has placed on record the photographs (Annexure P-2) vide which benefits were provided to the rightful claimants as provided by government. Present petitioner has not received any amount. Even otherwise he is ready to join the investigation. Therefore, anticipatory bail may be allowed.

4. Status report is filed. It is alleged that present petitioner was Chairman of the said society. There is detail of contribution by different ladies in the said Scheme. Present petitioner is involved in four other FIRs with the allegations of cheating and misappropriation of amount. In this case, present petitioner in connivance with other accused have cheated the applicants to the tune of Rs.16,82,300/- in the name of different scheme. Present petitioner is yet to join the investigation. Therefore, he is not entitled to be released on anticipatory bail.

5. I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. Perusal of status report indicates that several ladies contributed different amounts to avail of benefits as reflected to them by the accused and his associates. As per the arguments advanced by learned counsel for petitioner, it is not disputed that petitioner is the Chairman of said organization. Allegations are against the petitioner and his wife and others

for extracting money in the name of fake organization. He is yet to join the investigation. Poor applicants had contributed different amounts at different occasion and they are allegedly deprived of their money. Considering the manner in which the offence has been committed, I do not find a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail and the same is accordingly declined.

6. My observations are made for the disposal of this petition and it will have no bearing on the merits of case.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

27.08.2024 (AMARJOT BHATTI)
snd JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
Whether reportable: Yes/No