



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(111)

CWP No. 15091 of 2024
Date of Decision : 10.07.2024

Manjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Bikramjit Singh Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the claim raised in the present petition has already been decided by the Co-ordinate Bench while passing order in CWP No. 9410 of 2016 titled as **Balbir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**, decided on 13.05.2024 and other connected cases but the benefit of the said judgment is not being extended to the petitioners on the ground that petitioners were not the party to the petitions which were disposed of by the Co-ordinate Bench and the petitioners have been forced to file the present petition.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 30.05.2024 (Annexure P-23) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in



case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 30.05.2024 (Annexure P-23) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 10, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No