

2024:PHHC:052050

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

225

CWP-13400-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:18.04.2024

MSK

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurav Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Arihant Goyal, Sr. Panel Counsel for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Sharmila Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.6.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 21.03.2018 (Annexure P-7) vide which the respondent No.6 has been selected for the post of Counsellor in violation to the Operational Guidelines of NACO for Art Services July, 2012 (Annexure P-5).

2. Today nobody has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner. However, Ms.Sharmila Sharma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.6 submitted that similar writ petition has been dismissed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No.13069-2018 on 24.07.2019. She further submitted that in the aforesaid case the issue involved is identical to the present petition and the petitioner was at serial

No.2 in that case and the present petitioner is at Sr. No.1 in waiting list.
Therefore, the present petition is also liable to be dismissed.

3. In view of the aforesaid position, the present petition is dismissed.

4. However, since the learned counsel for the petitioner is not present today, liberty is hereby granted to the petitioner in case any cause of action survives, she may file an appropriate application for revival of the petition.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

18.04.2024
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No