

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CWP-18595-2016

Decided on : January 09, 2024

RAJWINDER KAUR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG Punjab
for respondent no.1

Mr. Harsh Chopra, Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (Oral)

By way of filing the instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the reply dated 06.04.2016 to the legal notice (Annexure P-5) vide which the claim of the petitioner for family pension has been rejected and writ of *mandamus* seeking direction to the respondents to restore the family pension under Ruels 6 & 7 of Civil Service Rules, Voume-II to the petitioner, which was earlier granted after the death of her husband.

During the course of hearing it has come to the notice that certain disputed question of facts have arisen in the present petition with regard to the re-marriage of the petitioner, which cannot be adjudicated in the writ jurisdiction.

Faced with this situtation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition to avail other legal remedies in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

January 09, 2024

mkkoundal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No