

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

267

CWP-18574-2016
Date of decision: 16.04.2024

BHAG SINGHPETITIONER

Vs.

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. H.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner (through V.C.)

Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Kanwar, Senior Panel Counsel
for respondent Nos. 1 to 7-UOI.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Bhagal, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 8 and 9.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent Nos. 8 and 9 to do their duty in proper manner and to specify work hours of petitioner as well as respondent Nos. 8 and 9. The petitioner is further seeking direction to respondents to conduct inquiry to find out factum of misuse of power by senior officials of concerned department.
2. The petitioner is working with respondent as ‘FGM, HS-II’. He is claiming that respondent Nos. 8 and 9 are not performing their duties in accordance with law. There is need to conduct inquiry with respect to act and conduct of senior officials of the respondent-department.
3. This Court does not find any substance in the prayers of the petitioner. The higher authorities within every organization are supposed to and competent to look into act and conduct of their employees. The Courts are not

supposed to verify whether any officer is performing duty as assigned to him or not.

- 4. The petition being bereft of merit deserves to be dismissed.
- 5. Dismissed.

16.04.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No