



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-14208-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision:06.11.2024

Sultan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.
(Through V.C.).

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for respondent No.4.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner through Video Conferencing submitted that the legal notice which was served upon the respondents vide Annexure P-2 has neither been considered by the respondents nor any order has been passed. He submitted that the grievance of the petitioner in the present case is with regard to counting of his past service from the years 1972 to 1977.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.4 submitted that in view of the submissions and limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, he has no objection with regard to passing of an order on the legal notice (Annexure P-2).



3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the legal notice (Annexure P-2) in accordance with law by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

06.11.2024
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No