

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

237

CWP-18365-2016(O&M)
Decided on :18.03.2024

Shree Ganesh Educational and Social Welfare Society and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kuldeep, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

Ms. Gehna Vaishnavi, Advocate
for respondent No. 5- UOI.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No. 1 to immediately release the pending claim of Rs.1,56,32,640/- of the petitioners for the year 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 payable in terms of scheme of the Central Government on Account of Scholarship Fee payable for the students of SC/ST/OBC/BC Category selected under Post Metric Scholarship Scheme.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioners, the petitioners would give a representation giving the details of the amounts which are outstanding, on account of payment to be made to the petitioner-Society under Post Metric Scholarship Scheme for the students of SC/ST/OBC/BC Category, to the competent authority of respondent

no.1-State within a period of two weeks from today and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the said representation is considered by the competent authority of respondent no.1-State in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea raised by the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the competent authority of respondent no.1-State would consider the said representation and decide the same within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of the said representation.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority of respondent no. 1-State to consider the representation which would be filed by the petitioners and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of said representation and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioners is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioners and in case, the plea of the petitioners is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of representation.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent no.1-State would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

18.03.2024
Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No