

Jasmeet Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Ms. Sehaj Sandhawalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1 On 19.07.2023 the following order was passed:-

“Learned State counsel has stated on instructions that although the C.D. was seen by the I.O. but the petitioner could not be recognized from C.D.

In view of aforesaid position, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and on his doing so, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Adjourned to 24.11.2023.”

2 Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Kuldeep Singh has stated that pursuant to the order dated 19.07.2023 the petitioner has not joined the investigation.

3 Learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions.

4 In view of above, present petition is dismissed.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

05.02.2024
Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No