



115
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-8685-2021
Date of Decision - 24.05.2024

Varisha
...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Mohammad Arshad, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG Haryana

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

- 1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no further instructions in the matter.
- 2. Ergo, the petition stands dismissed for non-prosecution.
- 3. Liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioner to seek recall of this order on showing sufficient cause.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

24.05.2024
reena

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No