



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(110)

CWP No. 15063 of 2024

Date of Decision : 10.07.2024

Raminder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate with
Ms. Divyajyot Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the claim of the petitioner is that petitioner rendered service from 23.10.1992 to 13.11.1997 as JBT Teacher on regular basis against a sanctioned post in Guru Nanak Girls High School, Dhariwal, District Gurdaspur and thereafter, she was appointed as a Math Mistress in the Education Department, Punjab and the service rendered by the petitioner as a JBT Teacher is liable to be considered as a valid/qualifying service for the grant of pensionary benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 05.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a



direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 05.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and will be duly conveyed to her.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 10, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No