

CWP-14852-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-14852-2024

Date of Decision :03.07.2024

Jagroop Kaur**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate and
Ms. Neha Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.


* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, grievance of the petitioner is that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of benefit of compassionate appointment has been rejected by the respondents twice vide orders dated 16.03.2023 and 13.03.2024, copy of which have been appended with the present petition as Annexure P/15 and P/20 respectively but while rejecting the claim of the petitioner, not even a single reason has been mentioned as to why the petitioner is not entitled for the grant of benefit of compassionate appointment after the death of her father, who was working with the Punjab Police.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and concedes the fact that no reason has been given in the impugned orders dated 16.03.2023

**CWP-14852-2024****-1-**

(Annexure P/15) and 13.03.2024 (Annexure P/20) denying the benefit of compassionate appointment to the petitioner, which is contrary to the settled principle of law. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that impugned orders dated 16.03.2023 (Annexure P/15) and dated 13.03.2024 (Annexure P/20) be treated as withdrawn and the respondents will pass fresh speaking order on the claim of the petitioner within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the fact that impugned orders dated 16.03.2023 (Annexure P/15) and dated 13.03.2024 (Annexure P/20) have been withdrawn, the grievance of the petitioner stands satisfied hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further at this stage with liberty to the petitioner that in case, any adverse order is passed by the respondents, the petitioner can avail an appropriate remedy.

Ordered accordingly.

July 03, 2024*aarti***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether reportable : Yes/No*