

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(204) CWP-18008-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : March 05, 2024

Balvinder Kaur .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the order dated 11.07.2016 (Annexure P-8) by which, the grant of compassionate appointment has been denied in favour of the son of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though at the time of filing of the present petition, the compassionate appointment was being pressed but as the compassionate appointment is not being given to the petitioner or to her son, the petitioner is restricting her claim for the grant of financial assistance, which was admissible to the family.

3. As per the facts mentioned in the petition, the husband of the petitioner who was working as a Driver, unfortunately died in a road accident on 07.06.1992. The petitioner was not given any benefit either the financial assistance or the compassionate appointment and as the children of

the petitioner were minor at the time of the death, they could not be given compassionate appointment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner is ready for the acceptance of the financial assistance keeping in view the policy which was applicable on the date of the death of the employee i.e. 07.06.1992 and the respondents be directed to grant the said benefit.

5. Learned State counsel submits that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of financial assistance will be finalized within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order and whatever the petitioner is found entitled for as per the policy which existed on the date of death of the employee, the same will be paid to the petitioner within a period of four weeks thereafter.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. Any miscellaneous applicaton pending if any, also stands disposed of.

March 05, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No