



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18363-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 24.10.2024

Rajinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Amarjit Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Kartik Bansal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

With reference to our order dated July 1, 2024, the necessary affidavit by the Estate Officer (Gateway City), PUDA, SAS Nagar, dated July 29, 2024, has already been submitted. The attention of the Court is drawn to the averments set out in paragraphs 3 & 4 thereof, which read as thus:

“3. That the above said case is squarely covered by the decisions of this Hon’ble Court rendered on 10.07.2020 in CWP No. 21657 of 2018 titled as Parminder Singh and Another Vs Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority and Another and CWP 18676 of 2019 titled as Harjit Singh Vs Punjab Urban Planning and Development Authority and Another.

4. That if, this Hon’ble Court decides in the above said case in the terms of order as cited in para no. 2 the deponent undertakes to disburse the refund amount to the petitioner within 30 days from the issuance of orders.”



Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of in terms of the stand set out by the respondents in the affidavit, referred to above.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, as also the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

24.10.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO