

2024:PHHC:010434

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(218) CWP No. 16664 of 2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 25.01.2024
Reetu Rani ...Petitioner

Versus
State of Haryana and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the challenge is to the action of the respondents that the candidates, who have secured less marks than the petitioners, have been selected, which act is arbitrary and illegal.

As per the reply filed, the respondents have mentioned that no one having lesser merit than the petitioner has been selected and given appointment. As per the reply filed, even if two candidates have secured same marks, the candidate elder in age is to be given preference.

Probably, keeping in view the reply filed, as the grievance of the petitioner has already stands replied, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

Petition along with CM-6532-CWP-2022 is dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 25th, 2024 (HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
kanchan JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No