

231

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12157-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.04.2024

SUNITA CHAUHAN

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Ms. Sonia G. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate and
Mr. Vicky Chauhan, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.4.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the official respondents not to harass the petitioner on the basis of repeated complaints moved by respondent No.4 and with a further prayer that official respondents may kindly be directed to take action against respondent No.4 for filing false complaints against the petitioner on the same cause of action, which has already been enquired into.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that respondent No.4 is repeatedly filing false complaints to the department against the petitioner because of some personal reasons and initially also two of the complaints have

been consigned to records by the department because nothing was found against the petitioner. She further submitted that thereafter in the year 2020, again one complaint was filed and the petitioner is being harassed because of the filing of similar complaints by respondent No.4 on the same grounds and therefore, necessary action be taken against respondent No.4.

3. At this stage, Mr. Deepak Balyan, learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 submitted on instructions that so far as the complaint which was filed by respondent No.4 against the petitioner in the year 2020 is concerned, the same has already been disposed of and nothing has been found against the petitioner. He further submitted that he has specific instructions to state that respondent-HUDA will not entertain any complaint filed against the petitioner in the future in case any such complaint is filed by respondent No.4 on the same grounds.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, the present writ petition may be disposed of.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. Miscellaneous applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of since the main case has been decided.

15.04.2024
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No