

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

294

CWP-18075 of 2021

Date of Decision:08.04.2024

Dharampal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY J. (Oral)

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for quashing of order dated 23.10.2012, Annexure P-10, whereby the claim of the petitioner for regularization of services has been declined and to further direct the respondents to regularize his services from 06.02.2012 as per the policy dated 18.03.2011, Annexure P-6.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the relief sought herein has already been granted to a similarly situated employee, namely Amrik Singh, who was regularized vide order dated 02.12.2020 with effect from 01.04.2011. He, on instructions, submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if his representation is directed to be decided in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the aforesaid and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner, by

taking into account the order passed in the case of Amrik Singh, Annexure P-15, within a period of six months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him, and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

April 24, 2024

dinesh

Whether speaking

:

Yes

Whether reportable

:

No