

**CRM-M-30901-2024****-1-**

206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-30901-2024**

Date of Decision:- 19.07.2024

Naveen

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and Another

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sumit Nain, Advocate
for Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. The petitioner – Naveen has filed petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 298 dated 17.04.2024 under Section 379-A of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 120-B of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal (Annexure P-1).

2. As per the facts of case, Vikram Singh complainant gave his statement to police that on 17.04.2024 at about 08:00 PM, he had gone to a shop situated outside factory to bring some articles. While coming back he was talking on phone when some boys came on a motorcycle from backside and snatched his mobile phone. Said boys fled away. He could not note down number of said motorcycle. With these allegations, present FIR was registered.

**CRM-M-30901-2024****-2-**

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that matter has been compromised. Affidavit of Vikram Singh is Annexure P-2. Petition has been filed for quashing of FIR and orders passed by Coordinate Bench in CRM-M No. 28924 of 2024 dated 31.05.2024 is Annexure P-3. Petitioner is ready to abide by terms of bail order.

4. Status report not filed. However, bail application is opposed by learned counsel representing State of Haryana.

5. I have considered the facts of present case. Present petitioner has filed regular bail application who was apprehended on 30.04.2024. Since then, he is in custody. Copy of order dated 31.05.2024 Annexure P-3, shows that quashing petition has been filed in this FIR on the basis of compromise. Considering this fact, no purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars. Therefore, without expressing my mind on the merits of the case, regular bail petition filed by the petitioner – Naveen is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge concerned.

6. The petition is accordingly, accepted.

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

19.07.2024

*lalit***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No