

**CWP-14790-2024 1****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****(113)****CWP-14790-2024****Date of Decision : July 02, 2024****Paviter Singh****.. Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****.. Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that he has been selected for the post of Veterinary Inspector in pursuance to the Advertisement No.202143 dated 18.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) but he is not being given appointment and that too without any valid justification.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is being verbally informed by the respondents that the qualification possessed by the petitioner are not valid keeping in view the terms and conditions of the advertisement in question.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated

04.10.2023 (Annexure P-6) but the same is still pending consideration with

**CWP-14790-2024 2**

the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 04.10.2023 (Annexure P-6) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that in case, after the decision, any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

July 02, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No