



CRWP-6096-2024 :1:

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRWP-6096-2024
Date of Decision: 28.06.2024

NANCY AND ANOTHER
... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS
....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana.

RITU TAGORE, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that due to an inadvertent mistake in memo of parties, the State of Punjab has been mentioned instead State of Haryana against respondent No.1. The mistake seems inadvertent, same be read as State of Haryana through its Home Secretary, Haryana.
2. Registry to carry out necessary correction.
3. Petitioners claim that they have attained majority and married against the wishes of their family members. Apprehending threat to their life and liberty from respondents No.4 to 7, they have filed the present petition for protection under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.
4. Petitioners claim to have submitted a representation (Annexure P-5) dated 26.06.2024 in this regard to the Superintendent of Police, District Panipat.
5. Heard.



CRWP-6096-2024 :2:

6. Record perused.
7. The petitioners have placed on record copies of their Aadhaar Cards (Annexures P-1 and P-2) as proof of their age, marriage photographs as Annexure P-4 as well as copy of marriage certificate (Annexure P-3) regarding performance of marriage.
8. Without commenting on the validity of marriage, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, District Panipat, that representation (Annexure P-5) dated 26.06.2024 filed seeking protection of life and liberty of petitioners, be looked into and contents thereof be duly verified and if necessary, requisite steps be taken, strictly in accordance with law for grant of protection of life and liberty to the petitioners.
9. It is made clear that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court regarding validity of marriage between the parties. This order shall have no effect on civil/criminal proceedings, if any, pending/instituted against the petitioners.
10. It is further made clear that if petitioners are otherwise found to be involved in any other case, in such an eventuality, this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking appropriate action against the petitioners, that law permits.

(RITU TAGORE)
JUDGE

28.06.2024
Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No