



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.14776 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision :01.07.2024**

K.R.F. Limited through its Director

.....Petitioner

Versus

**Haryana State Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation
Limited and others**

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present : Mr. D.S.Sobti, Advocate for the petitioner.
(through hybrid mode).

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

In essence, the grievance of the petitioner is against an order dated 29.03.2022 (P-17), whereby an industrial Site No.427-G, Sector 21-A, Phase-I, HSIIDC, Industrial Estate, Barhi, was resumed, for the petitioner defaulted in payment of sale consideration/premium as also owing to non-implementation of the project for which the plot was allotted.

It is not disputed that being aggrieved by the order of resumption the petitioner had preferred an appeal which is pending consideration before the Principal Secretary-cum-Financial Commissioner, Department of Industries & Commerce, Haryana (respondent No.3) since 25.04.2022.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even though years have rolled by but the appellate Authority has failed to take up the matter and decide the appeal. Resultantly, he asserts that interest of the petitioner continues to suffer.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, is present in Court. At the outset, on instructions, he submits that the appeal preferred by the petitioner is posted for hearing on 10.07.2024. He submits that in all likelihood the matter would be taken up and decided on the date fixed after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and he shall be entitled to raise all possible pleas before the appellate authority as admissible in law. However, it is urged that if owing to any unforeseeable circumstances, the matter is not heard and/or decided on the date fixed then at best, it shall be finally disposed of within two weeks thereafter.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned State counsel. And in the event, the appellate authority passes any adverse order the petitioner shall avail the appropriate remedies, if so advised.

In the wake of the position narrated above and in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that as submitted by learned State counsel the appellate authority shall make every possible endeavour to decide the matter in the right earnest by passing a comprehensive order and



assigning reasons in support thereof.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the authority shall examine the grievance of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

01.07.2024
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No