



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

243

CWP-18066-2021

Date of decision : 23.07.2024

Jiwandeep Singh

....Petitioner

V/S

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. B.S. Patwalia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the order dated 12.04.2007 (Annexure P-7), vide which the petitioner has been imposed a penalty of stoppage of one annual increment with cumulative effect and for quashing the order dated 05.09.2008 (Annexure P-9), whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner against order dated 12.04.2007 has been rejected.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that apart from the other grounds which have been taken in the present writ petition, one of the ground to challenge the order dated 05.09.2008 (Annexure P-9) passed by the Appellate Authority is that the same is cryptic and non-speaking order as none of the points raised in the appeal has been considered. He submits that the said order is liable to be set aside and



CWP-18066-2021

the matter may be remanded back to the Appellate Authority for reconsideration.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the order dated 05.09.2008 passed by the Appellate Authority which is totally cryptic and non-speaking shall be withdrawn and the appeal of the petitioner shall be re-considered by the Appellate Authority after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Appellate Authority to reconsider and decide the appeal filed by the petitioner, within a period of 03 months from today by passing a speaking order after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioner.

5. The petitioner shall be at liberty to submit a detailed representation at the time of hearing of appeal, if so required.

23.07.2024

kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No