

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

201 CRM-M No.32008 of 2023
Date of decision: 10.04.2024

Buta Singh ... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Mr. Suresh Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Nidhi Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Respondent No.2 in person
with Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate.

MANISHA BATRA, J.

1. Learned State counsel, on receipt of instructions from the Investigating Officer has submitted that the respondent No.2 has submitted an affidavit on 31.10.2023 that she does not want delivery of dowry articles from the petitioner and would take appropriate steps in this regard by moving application before the concerned Illaqa Magistrate. It is also submitted that as such, there was no need to rejoin the petitioner into the investigation. The custodial interrogation of the petitioner is no more required. No useful purpose would be served by detaining him in custody.

2. In view of the said facts, without commenting on the merits of

the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 25.07.2023 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

10.04.2024
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No