

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(213)

CWP No. 1167 of 2018
Date of Decision : 12.02.2024

Smt. Alpna Gumber

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that due to non-passing of the examination as envisaged to be conducted by the Hartron Approved Workstation, the services of the petitioner, who is working as a Data Entry Operator were sought to be terminated.
2. While issuing notice of motion, the respondents were directed to allow the petitioner to continue in service and the petitioner is in service as of now.
3. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that once the petitioner is working as a Data Entry Operator, the job itself envisaged typing otherwise, the work of the post of Data Entry Operator cannot be executed in the manner required hence, the claim of the petitioner that she

should be allowed to continue without passing the type test may kindly be declined.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner is already continuing in service, she is ready to clear the type test to be conducted by Hartron Approved Work Station and in case, she clears the type test, she be allowed to continue, failing which the respondents will be at liberty to pass appropriate order qua the services of the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view the fact that petitioner is continuing in service by way of interim order, the type test of the petitioner will be conducted by the appropriate authorities and in case, the petitioner clears the same, she will be allowed to continue subject to her satisfactory conduct till the work of the said post is required to be executed by the department on contract basis and in case, the petitioner fails to clear the said type test, appropriate order required under the said circumstances will be passed and till the said order is passed, the petitioner will be allowed to continue in service.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

February 12th, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

