

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216

CWP-16957-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.04.2024

Inderjeet Singh
....Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and Others
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vikas Chatrath and Mr. Akshat Kalia, Advocates
for the petitioner

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed for quashing the impugned order dated 21.03.2016, whereby the claim of the petitioner for promotion along with all consequential benefits to the post of Senior Assistant has been rejected.
2. Learned counsel submits that the ACP of the petitioner, which was not conveyed to him, have not been still taken into consideration for promotion for the post of Senior Assistant, while his juniors have been promoted. In this regard, a comprehensive representation will be filed by relying on the judgment in the case of **Dev Dutt vs. Union of India**, 2008 (4) SCC 725, which may be directed to be decided in a time bound manner.
3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.
4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents that in case petitioner submit a representation within a period of 4 weeks, the same shall be considered and decided in light of the afore-referred judgment, within a period of 6 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not

address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

23.04.2024

M.Kamra

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes / No

Whether reportable

:

Yes / No