



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

222

CWP-11612-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.11.2024

Ramesh Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Union Bank of India and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Tarun Deep Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Iqbal Mohammed, Advocate for the respondents-Bank

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to reinstate the petitioner, in wake of the fact that he was acquitted in criminal case.

2. As is recorded in the order dated 03.10.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner had fairly admitted that certain amounts have been paid. He submits that the claim of reinstatement had already been raised by way of representation, reminder and Legal Notice dated 18.12.2017, 09.03.2018 and 31.03.2018, Annexures P-2 to P-4 respectively, which have not been decided one way or the other. He thus, at this stage, on instructions from the petitioner, prays that a direction be given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for the respondents has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the above and without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to

consider and decide the representation, reminder and legal notice dated 18.12.2017, 09.03.2018 and 31.03.2018, in accordance with law, expeditiously. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to him and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioner shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

27.11.2024
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No