



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-7552-2024

Date of Decision: 08.08.2024

Geeta and another  
State of Punjab and others

Versus

...Petitioners  
...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH**

**Present:** Mr. Jaswinder Singh Grewal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*

**GURBIR SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

1. By way of present petition, the petitioners are seeking a direction to respondents Nos.2 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent No.5 and not to interfere in their personal lives.
2. It is stated that date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 18.02.1997 and date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 15.05.1994. The Aadhar cards of petitioner No.1 and 2 are attached as Annexure P-1 and P-2 respectively.
3. The petitioner No.1 married to respondent No.5 in the year of 2016 and blessed with one son. Respondent No.5 immediately after marriage started harassing the petitioner and used to beat her and throw her outside the house. It is stated that there is no one in her paternal family to look after her. In the end, she went to the house of petitioner No.2, who is her near friend and is residing with him. So unable to perform marriage with each other. The petitioners decided to live together in live-in-relationship and due to regular threats the petitioners are running here and there.
4. The private respondent No. 5 is against the relationship of petitioners and harassing the petitioners and threatened the petitioners to face the dire consequences.

5. The learned counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that a marriage is not a must for providing security to a couple in a ‘live-in relationship’ because protection qua life and liberty is sacrosanct and stands at the highest pedestal. He has also placed reliance upon orders passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in ‘*Sarabjeet Kaur and another versus State of Punjab and others*’ in CRWP-102-2022 decided on 07.01.2022 and ‘*Goutam Kumar and another versus State of Punjab and others*’ in CRWP-8088-2021 decided on 26.08.2021.

6. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4.

7. On asking of the Court, Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents No.1 to 4. Since no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed, therefore, there is no necessity of inviting any response.

8. At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, as well as on the age and nature of the relationship between the petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No. 2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, Mohali to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order in the representation allegedly filed by the petitioners before him on 19.06.2024. It would be appreciated if necessary orders are passed within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Till then, protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

9. However, if the petitioners is found to have been involved in any other case then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

10. Disposed of.

(GURBIR SINGH)  
JUDGE

08.08.2024  
Parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No  
Whether reportable :Yes/No