

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

119

CRWP-6038 of 2024
Date of Decision:27.06.2024

Muskan and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Ms. Hasan Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

(Proceedings conducted through hybrid mode)

AMAN CHAUDHARY J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 and 5, as they have attained majority and married against the wishes of their family members.

2. Learned counsel states that the petitioners will be satisfied if Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur i.e. respondent No.2 is directed to look into the representation dated 24.06.2024 (Annexure P-5), made to him by them and take appropriate action on the same.

3. As per the averments made, both the petitioners are major and their dates of birth are 27.10.2005 and 06.12.2001, respectively. The copies

of their Aadhr cards are appended as Annexures P1 and P2, respectively. They got married on 24.06.2024. The marriage certificate and the photographs of the marriage are annexed with the petition as Annexure P-3 and P-4.

4. Notice of motion.
5. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and has no objection in deciding the representation filed on behalf of the petitioners.
6. In view of the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of their claim, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 24.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) and decide the same expeditiously, in accordance with law.
7. It is however, made clear that this order shall not preclude the authorities to proceed against the petitioners, in case, they are found involved in any other civil or criminal proceedings, instituted/ pending against them or pass any order if there is some evidence that surfaces regarding their involvement in a cognizable offence, for which they may be required by the investigating agency.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

June 27, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No