



IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-18749-2021
Date of Decision: 15.05.2024

VAIBHAV SINGH TANWAR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Anupal and Mr. Abhimanyu Singh,
Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nishchal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing the official respondents to correct the name of the petitioner in the Birth Certificate issued by the Health Department, Municipal Council (wrongly mentioned as Committee in the petition), Bhiwani, in which the name of the petitioner is mentioned as Balraj Singh, whereas the correct name of the petitioner is Vaibhav Singh Tanwar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on account of an error, the name of the petitioner was mentioned as Balraj Singh instead of Vaibhav Singh Tanwar in his Birth Certificate issued by the office of Municipal Council, District Bhiwani. He submits that in all other documents including the Registration with the Central Board of Secondary Education, the School



Certificate, the Aadhar Card, School Leaving Certificate etc., the name of the petitioner continues to be reflected as Vaibhav Singh Tanwar. He submits that he had submitted an application for correction of the name from Balraj Singh to Vaibhav Singh Tanwar, however, the same is not being acceded to.

Counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 contends that the instructions have already been issued on 22.05.2013 and 11.02.2013, as per which, the name mentioned in the Birth Certificate cannot be changed with any other name, but the second name can be entered alongwith the previous name alongwith an 'alias'. He submits that the entry with respect to the name of the petitioner in his Birth Certificate has been made in accordance with the information furnished at the relevant point of time and that there is no lapse on the part of the respondent –Department.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that he has no objection in case the present petition is disposed of in terms of the order dated 07.07.2014 passed in ***CWP No.5360 of 2014 titled as 'Pawan Kumar Versus State of Haryana and others'***, wherein liberty was granted to the petitioner to move a fresh application for entry of the name in terms of instructions dated 22.05.2013 and 11.02.2013.

Counsel for respondent No.2 has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, with the consent of the parties and without commenting on the merits of the case, the instant petition is hereby disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach respondent No.2 by means of filing a fresh application for seeking redressal of his grievance, in accordance with law.



Needless to mention that in the event of the petitioner approaching respondent No.2 by way of any such application, the same shall be considered and decided expeditiously and preferably within a period of two months of the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

MAY 15, 2024
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No