



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.14718 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision :01.07.2024**

Ram Pal Singla @ R.P.Singla and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present : Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.

Mr. Deepak Sabharwal, Advocate for respondents-Board.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

The petitioners impugne the show cause notices dated 19.02.2020 (P-24 to P-30) stipulating cancellation of flats as benefit of 20% reservation to Housing Board Employees in Group Housing Scheme of Sector-20, Panchkula, was extended without seeking prior approvals/sanctions of the Government.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners, on receipt of the impugned show cause notices, had submitted their response (P-31 to P-37 dated 27.02.2020 to 05.03.2020). However, he submits that even though a considerable time has elapsed but the authorities have yet not passed any formal order. Resultantly, he submits that interest of the petitioners continues to suffer. It is urged that few of the other allottees, who were identically placed as the petitioners, had approached this Court vide CWP Nos. 12789 of 2021 and 1230 of 2023, which were disposed of by this Court, vide



orders dated 15.07.2021 (P-39) and 20.01.2023 (P-40) respectively, whereby the respondents were directed to consider the response submitted by the petitioners and pass necessary orders. Accordingly, he submits that the Housing Board Haryana (respondent No.2), vide orders dated 30.09.2021 (P-41) and 18.08.2023 (P-42), directed Estate Manager, HBH, Panchkula, to incorporate the name of the petitioners as transferee being *bona fide* purchasers. Therefore, he submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the orders passed by this Court (ibid) as also the decision, the respondents had taken pursuant thereto.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, is present in Court for the Board. He, on instructions, submits that as the matter is pending consideration of the competent authority, the petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioners and pass necessary orders, in accordance with law. He submits that while considering the cause/concern of the petitioners, the orders passed by this Court as also the orders 30.09.2021 and 18.08.2023, referred to above, shall be taken into consideration. And, the necessary orders in this regard shall be passed within a period of 6 weeks.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is agreeable to the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents-Board and submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by him.

In the wake of the position, as sketched out above, the petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.



This Court is sanguine that the competent authority would consider the claim of the petitioners in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned counsel for respondents-Board.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the authority shall examine the grievance of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

01.07.2024
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No