

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

218

CWP-11357-2018

Date of decision: 21.02.2024

GAMDOOR SINGH

....PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to promote him from the date his juniors have been promoted.
2. The petitioner claims that his juniors have been promoted to the post of DSP and thereafter SP prior to date of his promotion despite he is more meritorious. The petitioner was appointed in sports quota and many other officers, who were having lesser achievements in sports, have been promoted prior to his date of promotion.
3. Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate submits that grievance of the petitioner at this stage, would be redressed, if respondents are directed to look into representation of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order on his representation/legal notice dated 15.01.2018.
4. Mr. Pawan Kumar, DAG, Punjab does not oppose aforesaid prayer of the petitioner.

5. In the wake of statements of both sides, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider representation/legal notice of the petitioner and pass an appropriate order within 3 months from today.

21.02.2024
anju

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No