



**112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6008-2024

Date of decision: 27.06.2024

PRIYANKA AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Ms. Hasan Kaur, Advocate for the petitioners (through V.C.).

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant criminal writ petition has been filed by the petitioners under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing respondent Nos.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 6.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that let this petition be disposed of only with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala (respondent No.2) to consider and decide the representation dated 21.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) alleged to have been submitted by petitioner No.1 seeking protection for their lives and personal liberty.

3. Accordingly, and without commenting upon the merits of the claim of the petitioners and even the assertions set out in the petition, the same is disposed of only with a direction to respondent No.2 that if any such representation, as indicated above, is indeed pending consideration, it shall be dealt with expeditiously in accordance with law.

27.06.2024

R.S.

**(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No