

288

CRM-M-30625-2024
Reserved on: 15.07.2024
Pronounced on: 18.07.2024

Baljinder Singh alias Baljit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Balbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhdev Singh, AAG, Punjab.

* * * *

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
82	22.10.2023	Tarsikka, Amritsar	379-B(2), 411 IPC and 25/54/59 of Arms Act

1. The petitioner incarcerated in the FIR captioned above has come up before this Court under Section 439 CrPC seeking bail.

2. As per para 15 of the petition, the petitioner declared that he is not involved in any other FIR. However, para 15 of the reply discloses the following criminal antecedents:

Sr. No.	FIR No.	Date	Offences	Police Station
1	157	09.11.2023	307, 353 & 186 IPC and 25 of Arms Act	Chattiwind, Amritsar (Rural)

3. Criminal antecedents are one of the most important aspects while granting or rejecting bail. The petitioner chose not to disclose the criminal history correctly. Given the above, the present petition is dismissed with the liberty to file fresh after disclosing all criminal antecedents relating to any part of India or abroad. It is clarified that if the petitioner does not attach a new power of attorney [Vakalatnama], **then the Registry shall not raise an objection in regard**, and the vakalatnama filed in this petition shall suffice.

4. Any observation made hereinabove is neither an expression of opinion on the

CRM-M-30625-2024

case's merits nor shall the trial Court advert to these comments.

5. **Petition dismissed.** All pending applications, if any, stand closed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
UDGE

18.07.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.