



CRM-M-30631-2024

1

111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30631-2024

Date of decision: 30.09.2024

Rakesh Yadav

....Petitioner

Versus

UT of Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. S.K. Liberhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, PP, U.T. Chandigarh.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J

1. Petitioner-Rakesh Yadav has filed petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking direction to the respondent to register FIR while considering complaint dated 12.06.2024 Annexure P-1 addressed to the SHO and another complaint dated 13.06.2024 Annexure P-2 against respondents No.4 to 7 or any other direction which this Court may deem fit after considering facts of the case.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that he was married to Gulshan Devi respondent No.4 in the year 2010 and out of this wedlock he is having two children. Thereafter, respondent No.4 started talking to some unknown person at facebook and she eloped with said person by taking away huge amount of money and jewellery from his house. He further came to know that his wife had given all money and jewellery to her parents which are kept with a lady named Kajal. On the basis of representations given by the present petitioner (Annexure P-1 and P-2), petitioner prayed for action against respondents No.4 to 7.



CRM-M-30631-2024 2

3. Status report has been filed, wherein it is alleged that complaint dated 12.06.2024 Annexure P-1 has been enquired. Rakesh Yadav insisted that he got married with Gulshan Devi-respondent No.4 whereas this fact is categorically denied by Gulshan Devi. She further alleged that she has left the house of the complainant with her own consent and she will take care of both her children individually.

4. Learned counsel representing U.T., Chandigarh, also placed on record photostat copy of statement of Gulshan Devi recorded on 17.7.2024. On the basis of preliminary inquiry, it is reported that nothing materialistic and cogent was found in the complaint of petitioner and both the parties do not wish to maintain relationship with each other. Consequently, the complaint filed by the petitioner was filed.

5. I have considered the arguments and the detailed status report. Petitioner claimed that he got married with Gulshan Devi also levelled allegations of theft of money and jewellery. This fact has been denied by respondent No.4. The dispute has arisen due to their relationship with each other. Considering the status report, no further direction is required to be issued in this case and the petitioner is at liberty to pursue his grievance as per law.

6. Accordingly the present petition stands disposed of

7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

30.09.2024
monika

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes /No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes /No |