



CWP No.16475-2022

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

291

CWP No.16475-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.11.2024

Harbir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. P.S.Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner (Through Video Conferencing).

Mr. T.P.S.Walia, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of *Certiorari* for quashing the Office Order No.103/E-II dated 27.12.2021 (P-13) whereby claim of petitioner for grant of benefit of higher pay scale along with consequential benefits was declined.

2. In response to notice of motion issued by this Court, short reply by way of affidavit dated 12.11.2024 of Mr. Vijay Kumar Chopra, Chief Engineer (Head Quarter), PWD (B&R), Patiala on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 has been filed and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to the other side.

Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. For reference, para No.6 of above affidavit reads as under:

“That the case of petitioner and other similar nature Writ Petitions were reconsider and proposal sent to the Government (Administrative Department) for seeking directions regarding the prayer/claim of the



petitioners. The Government further proposal sent to the Department of Finance for taking decision regarding payment of difference of pay during the period of additional charge of such higher post. Department of Finance vide letter No. FD-FE-40BDGT/38/2024-2FE4/379 dated 18.10.2024 (Annexure R-1) given the advice which was received by the Govt. memo no. PWD-BR301/75/2022-4BR3/955664 date 25.10.2024 (Annexure R-2). That the answering respondent No.2 vide Office Order No.111/ES-2 dated 28.10.2024 (Annexure R-3) accept the claim of the petitioner and pay/emolument (difference of pay) of the post of Junior Engineer for the period that petitioner held the additional charge of such higher post.”

4. A perusal of the above extract reveals that grievance of the petitioner stands redressed by the respondents.
5. In view of the above development, learned counsel for petitioner submits that this petition be disposed off.
6. Ordered accordingly.
- Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

12.11.2024
Rajeev (rvs)

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No