

2024:PHHC:080520



111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-5924-2024
Date of Decision: 25.06.2024

Kamna and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Gursewak Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer has been made for issuance of direction to official respondents to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners and also to direct respondent No.4 not to interfere in their personal life.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his clients would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide representation dated 20.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) moved at the instance of petitioners.
3. Prayer seems to be justified.
4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents-State and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer

made on behalf of the petitioners. Requisite copies of the petition have already been supplied to the respondents-State by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, District Patiala (Punjab) to look into the representation dated 20.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, within a period of 02 weeks from today.

25.06.2024
sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no