



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30500-2024

Date of Decision : **August 08, 2024**

ANKUSH VEDERA @ ANKUSH VADHERA

.....Petitioner

**VERSUS**

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Manu Loona, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)**

1. On 25.6.2024, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

*“1. The petitioner prays for the pre-arrest bail in a criminal case arising from FIR No.50, dated 26.05.2024, registered under Section 406, 420, 506 IPC, at Police Station Arniwala, District Fazilka.*

*2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner relies upon the order passed by a Coordinate Bench in CRM-M-29759 of 2024 (**Ramdev vs. State of Punjab**).*

*3. Notice of motion.*

*4. Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the State.*

*5. Adjourned to 16.07.2024.*

*6. In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on the interim bail subject to furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and*



CRM-M-30500-2024

-2-

*shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.*

*7. To be heard along with CRM-M-29759 of 2024.”*

2. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official concerned, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner(s) had joined investigation and he is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 25.6.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

**August 08, 2024**  
ajay-1

**(KULDEEP TIWARI)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No