

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRWP-5910 of 2024  
Date of Decision: 25.06.2024

Ritika and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

Present: Mr. Rajesh Duhan, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RITU TAGORE, J.(Oral)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major in age and have attained marriageable age. Copies of Aadhaar cards of both the petitioners are attached as Annexure P-1 and P-2.
3. It is further averred that both the petitioners got married on 20.06.2024 at Maa Naina Devi Jan Kalyan Sanstha (Regd. No.00692) Booth No.220, M.D.C. Sector-4, Panchkula, Haryana, against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 7, who are related to petitioner No.1. Photocopy of marriage certificate dated 20.06.2024

is attached as Annexure P-3. This is their first marriage.

4. Counsel for the petitioners submits that aforesaid private respondents No.4 to 7 have threatened to cause harm to both the petitioners, and in this regard, representation dated 20.06.2024 (Annexure P-5) has been given to Superintendent of Police, Karnal but till date no action has been taken by the concerned authority in this regard.

5. Notice of motion.

6. Ms. Safia Gupta, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State of Haryana.

7. Learned State counsel places on record photocopies of the statements of respondents No. 4 to 7, which is taken on record, subject to just exceptions. In the statements the respondents No.4 to 7 got recorded before the Police that they have come to know that their daughter (Ritika-petitioner No.1) has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 and they have no objection if their daughter wants to live with the petitioner No.2 and they shall have no concern with the petitioner No.1. Their daughter should not perceive any threat from them.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statements made by the respondents No.4 to 7 before the Police the petition may be disposed of.

9. Accordingly, keeping in view the statements of the private respondents No.4 to 7, at this stage, there seems no perception of threat to the petitioners from the private respondents No.4 to 7.

Accordingly, writ petition is disposed of.

10.           However, petitioners are at liberty to move fresh application, if, they apprehend any perception of threat to their life and liberty in future.

(RITU TAGORE)  
JUDGE

25.06.2024  
Rimpal

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |