



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-30466-2024
Date of decision: 12.08.2024

SACHINPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Munish Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional A.G., Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On 25.06.2024, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia submits that the injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature and all the other co-accused have been granted the concession of regular bail by the Court of Sessions. Notice of motion for 12.08.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall join investigation before the Investigating Officer as and when called. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 09.08.2024, of Sh. Rajat Gulia, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ambala Cantt has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to the opposite counsel. Today, the learned State counsel has, on

instructions imparted to him by the official respondent, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner had joined investigation and he is no longer required for any further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 25.06.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

12.08.2024
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No