



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30520-2024

Date of Decision:- 14.10.2024

JAHIDA

....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the complainant.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner Jahida has filed instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.160 dated 26.05.2024 under Sections 148, 323, 325 and 452 read with Section 149 and 506 of IPC registered at Police Station Quilla, District Panipat.

2. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that he was granted ad-interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 25.06.2024 and in compliance to this order, present petitioner has already joined the investigation. Therefore, interim bail already granted in his favour may be confirmed.

3. Detailed status report has been filed according to which in pursuance to the aforesaid interim bail present petitioner has already joined the investigation. Her disclosure statement was recorded and accordingly bamboo stick was recovered from her. Since petitioner has already joined investigation, she is not required at this stage.

4. Considering the aforesaid factual position and the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation and fully cooperated with the Investigating Agency, no purpose would be served by sending her behind the bars. Therefore, ad-interim bail already granted in her favour vide order dated 25.06.2024 stands confirmed subject to the conditions detailed under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

5. Petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

14.10.2024
snd

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.

Whether reportable: Yes/No